1	The decline and restoration of a coastal lagoon (Lake Veere) in the Dutch
2	Delta
3	
4	Sander Wijnhoven \cdot Vincent Escaravage \cdot Eugène Daemen \cdot Herman Hummel
5	
6	S. Wijnhoven [*] · V. Escaravage · H. Hummel
7	Monitor Taskforce,
8	Netherlands Institute of Ecology,
9	Centre for Estuarine and Marine Ecology (NIOO-CEME),
10	Korringaweg 7,
11	P.O. Box 140, NL-4401 NT, Yerseke, The Netherlands
12	e-mail: <u>s.wijnhoven@nioo.knaw.nl</u>
13	
14	E. A. M. J. Daemen
15	Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management,
16	RWS Directorate Zeeland,
17	Poelendaelesingel 18,
18	P.O. Box 5014, NL-4330 KA Middelburg, The Netherlands
19	
20	Publisherøs version: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9233-1
21	
22	Abstract
23	
24	The former tidal inlet Lake Veere was turned into a stagnant brackish lake in 1961. Ever
25	since, the system has shown a continuous degradation. The current study shows the
26	monitoring results for the macrozoobenthic communities and the abiotic conditions for the
27	period 1990-2008. This includes the first step to remediation, the restoration of the exchange
28	between the tidal marine Eastern Scheldt and Lake Veere in 2004. A continuous decline in

1 water clearance co-occurring with decreasing macrofauna densities and richness was observed 2 till 2004. Water quality (e.g. secchi depth, nutrient levels, oxygen conditions) improved 3 significantly after the measure at a higher salinity level with less variation. But the 4 macrofauna densities, biomass and diversity did not improve yet. First indications of changes 5 in the benthic communities by arrival of new and returning species are however observed, and 6 show that restoration at macrofauna level follow the improved abiotic conditions with a delay 7 of several years. 8 9 Keywords Macrofauna indicators · Benthic communities · Water quality · Restoration · Confinement \cdot Oxygen conditions 10 11 12 Introduction 13 14 The Dutch Delta Plan, response to the disastrous 1953øs flooding, resulted in the complete 15 reconstructionøof the Rhine-Meuse-Scheldt delta (South-western Netherlands) with a 16 reduction of the coast line with no less than 700 km. The reconstruction reshaped former tidal 17 marine and estuarine areas into semi and fully stagnant basins. Some basins became fresh 18 waters, whereas others became brackish or salt water lakes. Lake Veere, a small inlet in the 19 centre of the Dutch delta area (Fig. 1), was the first inlet to be closed and was turned into a 20 stagnant brackish lake with the closing of the Veerse Gatdam in 1961 (Table 1). Herewith the 21 system which used to have free communication with the North Sea, was changed into a lake 22 with limited exchange of water with the Eastern Scheldt that was transformed into a tidal 23 marine bay. Initially Lake Veere as all other inlets in the area was planned to become a 24 freshwater lake. With the decision in 1976 to build a storm surge barrier (designed to remain 25 open except by extreme weather conditions) instead of a dyke in the mouth of the Eastern

Scheldt (Nienhuis and Smaal, 1994), Lake Veere was kept brackish. The water level in the
lake was however artificially remained at an unnatural level of -0.70 meters NAP (Amsterdam
Ordnance Datum) in winter and around NAP between April to September (Coosen et al.,
1990). The winter regime was intended to increase the drainage capacity of Lake Veere for
superfluous (rain) water from the surrounding polders. The summer regime that was managed
with the introduction of sea water from the Eastern Scheldt, was designed to sustain the
recreational function (e.g. bathing, sailing) of the lake.

8 The bottom structure of Lake Veere reflects its estuarine past with a gradient from sandy to 9 more silty bottoms from west to east (Coosen et al., 1990). Further, as indicated in Fig. 1, in 10 the eastern part of the lake, the proportional area of shallow waters is larger than in the 11 western part of the lake, where particularly deeper gullies are more abundant. Within the 12 eastern part, 29, 33 and 38, and in the western part, 61, 17 and 22 % of the area consists of 13 respectively the 0-2 m, 2-6 m and >6 m strata.

14 After the closure of the Veerse Gatdam, the salinity sharply dropped from almost 29 to 18

15 (Coosen et al., 1990). During the seventies and eighties Lake Veere evolved into a eutrophied

16 brackish lake with a salinity varying between the 14.4 and 21.7 (Seys and Meire, 1988),

17 which is largely a difference in respectively winter and summer salinity. Besides its functions

18 as drainage basin and recreational area, Lake Veere also had an important nature function

19 amongst others as a resting and foraging ground for water birds particularly in winter (Coosen

20 et al., 1990; Prinsen et al., 2005).

After a sharp decline in the number of macrozoobenthic species in the lake as a possible effect of the drop in salinity just after the closure, the number of species gradually increased during the late sixties, seventies and eighties (Coosen et al., 1990). In the mean time eutrophication gradually intensified as indicated by a dramatic decrease in water transparency (Prinsen et al., 2005) and the recurrent occurrence of anoxic conditions at the bottom (Coosen et al., 1990;

Craeymeersch and De Vries, 2007). Concurrently the areal of seagrass *Zostera marina* strongly decreased to the profit of macro-algae (predominantly *Ulva lactuca*) (Craeymeersch
 and De Vries, 2007) and of massive phytoplankton blooms. These developments resulted in
 an impoverishment of the macrozoobenthic communities with a dramatic decline in
 macrofauna densities, biomass and diversity (Escaravage and Hummel, 2003; Craeymeersch
 and De Vries, 2007).

The observed developments are also partly due to the installed artificial water level regime.
Bank vegetation failed to develop at the unnatural high water level in summer, whereas such
vegetation might reduce nutrient availability and therefore the occurrence of algal blooms.
Therefore, more bank vegetation might have led indirectly to improved water transparency.
An unnaturally low water level maintained in winter caused benthic macrofauna mortality in
the shallow parts. These shallow parts are under natural conditions the most important
foraging grounds for waterfowl (Prinsen et al., 2005).

14 The deterioration of the lake ecosystem has led to the decision in 1989 to reconnect Lake 15 Veere to the Eastern Scheldt to reintroduce tidal dynamics of about 0.12 meters and therefore 16 to improve the water quality in the lake (Table 1). The communication with the Eastern 17 Scheldt was restored in 2004 with the building of a water gate (the -Katse Heuleø) through the 18 closing dam on the eastern reach of the lake. The NIOO-CEME makes records of the 19 macrobenthic communities of the soft substrates in Lake Veere in spring and autumn since 20 1990 following a standardized monitoring programme. The current study investigates the 21 effects of the restoration measure on the water quality and on the macrobenthic communities 22 based on the records over the 1990-2008 period. This study gives an overview of the 23 differences observed between both periods before and after the restoration measure. 24 Hypotheses are made about the effect of water exchange on the ecosystem functioning of 25 lagoons and the possible use of it for ecosystem management in lagoon systems.

2 Materials and Methods

3

4 Monitoring benthic communities

5

6 As part of the MWTL (Dutch Water systems Monitoring Program), the NIOO-CEME 7 monitors the macrozoobenthic communities of Lake Veere since 1990. Sampling takes place 8 in two areas of the lake, further called the western part of Lake Veere (indicated in Fig.1 9 between the Veerse Gatdam and station 10) and the eastern part of Lake Veere (between 10 station 15 and the Zandkreekdam). Each year in spring (April/May) and autumn 11 (September/October) 30 samples are taken in each of the two areas, at which the samples are 12 equally distributed over three depth strata (0-2 m, 2-6 m and >6 m), with the exception of the 13 year 1990 in which an extra 30 samples were taken in the same way in the western part. From 14 the onset of the monitoring till the end of 1994, macrobenthos samples were taken randomly 15 within each area x stratum combination. Since 1995 samples are always taken at fixed 16 locations. 17 Samples from the 0-2 m stratum are taken from a small boat using a -flushing samplerøwith which sediment is sucked up over a 0.020 m^2 area and 30 cm depth and is sieved over a 1 mm 18 19 mesh. Samples from larger water depths are taken with a Reineck box-corer from a research vessel. From the box-core a core of 0.015 m^2 to an approximate depth of 30 cm is taken and 20 21 sieved over a 1 mm mesh. The residues from both sampling techniques are conserved in pH-22 neutralized formalin and taken to the laboratory for analyses. In the laboratory, the samples 23 are stained with Bengal Rose, after which the species are identified, specimens counted, 24 lengths are measured and ash-free dry-weights (ADW) are estimated after drying for 2 days at 80 °C and incineration for 2 hours at 570 °C. The ADW is the weight difference before and 25

- after incineration. Alternatively length-weight regressions that are obtained when possible
 from the same species, area and season are used to determine the ADW.
- 3

4 Abiotic parameters

5

6 For the abiotic conditions in Lake Veere, monitoring data collected at Soelekerkepolder Oost 7 (centre of the lake, Fig. 1) made available (http://www.waterbase.nl) by the Directorate 8 General -Rijkswaterstaatø(RWS) of the Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water 9 Management, are used. Data used in this study are (monthly and during summer twice a 10 month) measurements of salinity, secchi depth (in dm), dissolved nitrogen levels (in mg N/l) 11 and dissolved phosphate levels (in mg P/l) taken between January 1988 and June 2008. As 12 benthic macrofauna is particularly exposed to bottom salinities and those can differ from the 13 surface values, also the salinity and oxygen (mg O_2/l) conditions measured at 17 stations in 14 Lake Veere, and 3 stations in the Eastern Scheldt just east of the Katse Heuleø(Fig. 1), were 15 used. These measurements are originating from a CTD-recorder, further called the TSO 16 (Temperature-Salinity-Oxygen) monitoring data, monthly taken as depth profile 17 measurements from January 1995 to July 2008. The TSO data were kindly made available by 18 the Hydro Meteo Centre (Meetadviesdienst) of the RWS Directorate Zeeland. Measurements 19 taken at the stations 18, 19 and 20 (just outside Lake Veere in the Eastern Scheldt) were only 20 available from September 2000 onwards. Oxygen conditions at the bottom of the lake are 21 described by the ratio of either hypoxic or anoxic days over the total year days, respectively R_{hvpox} and R_{anox}, at which hypoxia is defined as an oxygen content below 3 mg/l and anoxia as 22 23 an oxygen content below 0.5 mg/l.

24

25 Data analyses and statistics

2	All macrobenthic data (taxonomy, density, biomass) are stored in the Benthos Information
3	System database (BIS) that is owned by the Monitor Taskforce. Data extracted from BIS are
4	aggregated within Microsoft Office Access 2003 and exported to Microsoft Office Excel 2003
5	for basic calculations. Statistical analyses (ANOVAs and trend analyses) are executed in
6	Systat 11 for Windows. Calculations of the macrofauna indicators Margalef richness (d) and
7	Pielou evenness (Jø) based on observed densities are executed in Primer 5.2.8 for Windows, at
8	which $d=(S-1)/\ln(n)$ and $J\phi=(-sum(p_i^*(p_i)))/\ln(S)$ for which $S =$ number of species per m^2 , $n =$
9	number of specimens per m^2 and p_i = proportion of the i^{th} species in the total number. The
10	proportional occurrence of respectively hypoxic (O $_2$ < 3mg/l) and anoxic (O $_2$ < 0.5 mg/l)
11	conditions (Coosen et al., 1990) are graphically interpolated from a line graph of oxygen
12	concentrations over time as the periods of time when concentrations lie below the above
13	mentioned limits. For statistical reasons we divided the research period in three to make
14	comparison of biotic and abiotic indicators/parameters of the period before and after the
15	change in management possible. We therefore distinguished a similar time span before the
16	opening of the :Katse Heuleøin June 2004 (period 2) as the period for which we have data
17	after the opening (period 3). This leaves a longer period between either January 1988
18	(abiotics) or autumn 1990 (biotics) and July 2000 / autumn 2000 as period 1.
19	Macrozoobenthos monitoring data are also analyzed over the research period with respect to
20	disappearing, reappearing and newly observed species. Species are considered to have
21	disappeared when they are absent for at least three sequential years after that they have been
22	frequently found. Species are considered to have reappeared when they are present for at least
23	two years after they have been absent for at least three sequential years. Species are
24	considered new when they have never been observed before and are found at least in two
25	years. Species reappearing or new during the last year of observation (2007) are also

1 considered although there is a risk that some of them will not be found in the future. The 2 years 2005-2007 however teach us that species only observed during a single year are rare 3 during that period. For this analysis the monitoring data from autumn 1990 and spring and 4 autumn 1991 are used as the initial set of species. Species that are only occasionally found in 5 low numbers every once in a while (there are several gaps of two or three years in the 6 observations) are not taken into account for this study. 7 The lists of disappearing, reappearing and new species compiled during the period 2004-2007 8 (after the re-opening of the lake), are completed with the decreasing and increasing species 9 during this period to form the lists of Hosing speciesøand -profiting speciesø Significant 10 changes in trend after 2003 by species that have been present throughout 1990-2003 might be 11 a response to the re-opening of the lake. The significance level of the changes is tested 12 comparing the linear regression models based on proportional occurrences per year for the 13 periods 1990-2003 and 2004-2007 using co-variance analysis at general linear models in 14 Systat 11 for Windows. 15 All possible differences are considered significant at p<0.05 (*), but also significance levels 16 p<0.01 (**) and p<0.001 (***) are indicated in certain graphs and tables. 17 18 **Results** 19 20 Developments in water quality 21 22 During the years before the opening of the :Katse Heuleø Lake Veere can be considered a 23 brackish lake with an average salinity of 17.8 ± 3.2 in the surface water in the centre of the 24 lake during the period January 1988 to July 2000, and a salinity of 15.2 ± 2.5 during the next

8

four years (Fig. 2a; Table 2). These are meso- to polyhaline conditions. After the restoration

measure had been taken, salinity sharply increased to 26.5 ± 2.2 on average, which is still polyhaline, but is approaching a marine (euhaline) environment. Where the secchi depth used to be almost 3 meters, the water transparency significantly decreased to less than 1 meter the last years before the measure was taken (Fig. 2b) and significantly increased again thereafter (Table 2). Both levels of dissolved nitrogen and phosphate have been found to be relatively stable throughout the period 1988-2004 and dropped significantly after the re-opening (Table 2).

8 The average salinity at the bottom of the 17 stations in Lake Veere became significantly 9 higher after the re-opening of the lake (Fig. 3; Table 3) where in general the salinity used to 10 be slightly higher at the bottom of the lake than at the surface. Also the salinity variation as 11 measured by the standard deviation at each station has significantly changed since the 12 opening of the -Katse Heuleøwith values that are less than half of what they used to be. Salinities generally do not differ between the first two periods, except for station 2 (p < p13 14 0.001), stations 3 and 4 (p < 0.01) and stations 7, 9 and 15 (p < 0.05) (Fig. 3). The salinity 15 variance only differs between the first two periods at the stations 16 and 17 (p < 0.001) and 16 station 1 (p < 0.05). At stations 18 to 20, where only the two last periods can be compared, the 17 only significant difference is found in average salinity at station 20 (p < 0.01). Figure 3 shows 18 that whereas only at the stations 16 and 17, the bottom salinities were close to the salinity in 19 the Eastern Scheldt before the measure; salinities are now almost the same in Lake Veere and 20 the Eastern Scheldt but with a slight decreasing gradient from east to west. Salinity 21 differences between stations for certain periods further largely follow the depth profile (Fig. 22 1) with the highest salinities at the deepest stations. 23 The relative periods of hypoxia ($O_2 < 3 \text{ mg/l}$) or anoxia ($O_2 < 0.5 \text{ mg/l}$) is indicated, showing 24 that especially several particularly deeper stations in the eastern half of the lake suffered 25 hypoxia for more than half of the year and anoxia for over one-fourth of the time before the

1 restoration measure (Fig. 4). Particularly the last four years before the measure showed poor 2 oxygen conditions that significantly improved after the opening of the :Katse Heuleø(Table 3 4). The average period of hypoxia for Lake Veere is reduced to 7.5 % of the time, and anoxic 4 conditions are almost absent (on average 1.8 % of the time). This improvement is especially 5 present in the eastern half of the lake where oxygen conditions were poorest, and both anoxia 6 and hypoxia events almost disappeared (Fig. 4). In the western half of the lake however the 7 improvement is less spectacular and poor oxygen conditions are still encountered. East of the 8 Katse Heuleøin the Eastern Scheldt, no hypoxia has been observed before and after the 9 opening of the connection.

10

11 Developments in macrofauna

12

13 The total macrofauna densities were especially high in the early 90s. Both the western and the 14 eastern part of Lake Veere showed a gradual decrease of the densities which seemingly went 15 on after the opening of the -Katse Heuleø(Fig. 5). In the western part, recently (autumn 2004 16 to autumn 2007) measured densities are higher than in the eastern part (Table 5) but such an 17 increase is difficult to distinguish from yearly fluctuations. Comparing the three periods; 18 autumn 1990 ó spring 2000 (period 1), autumn 2000 ó spring 2004 (period 2) and autumn 19 2004 ó autumn 2007 (period 3), significant differences in densities are restricted to 20 comparisons between period 1 and the two other periods for the eastern part of the lake. 21 Focusing on the most abundant taxonomic classes, it is found that the polychaetes are 22 dominant in numbers throughout the research period in both parts of the lake. Particularly 23 halfway the 90s other classes like the Clitellata were more abundant, and also gastropods (e.g. 24 1993, 2005) and bivalves (1994, 2007) showed temporal increases with differences however 25 between the western and the eastern part of the lake. Taking the different strata into account,

the above mentioned patterns are especially present in the 0-2 and 2-6 meters strata. Variation
 in densities through time and differences between taxonomical classes are less clear in the
 deepest stratum, where total densities are also much lower.

4 No significant differences or trends in total macrofauna biomass are found as just a slight 5 decrease in biomass can be observed in the period when the -Katse Heuleøentered in function 6 (Fig. 5c,d). The total biomass is significantly higher in the western part than in the eastern 7 part throughout the research period (Table 5). The bivalves are by far the most dominant 8 taxonomical class in biomass, especially in the western part of the lake. Their biomass is 9 therefore also significantly higher in the western part than in the eastern part, which also 10 accounts for the Gastropoda before and the Clitellata after the restoration measure. In the 11 western part, the average biomass is much higher in the upper two strata than below 6 meters 12 of depth. In the eastern part this is less the case; but average biomass is more stable through 13 time in the 0-2 m stratum than in the two deeper strata where more fluctuations are found. 14 Differences is relative biomass distributions over the taxonomical classes between strata are 15 small.

16 The above described developments lead to a continuous decrease in species richness based on 17 species densities for both parts of Lake Veere (Fig. 6a). The species richness is significantly 18 higher during period 1 than during period 3 in the western part, and higher during period 1 19 than during the two other periods in the eastern part (Table 6). The species richness appears 20 also to be higher in the west than in the east during the first and the last period. The evenness 21 on the other hand gradually increases in both parts of Lake Veere (Fig. 6b). In the western 22 part the evenness is significantly lower during period 1 than during period 2 and in the east 23 the evenness is significantly highest during period 3 and lowest during period 1 (Table 6). 24 Where the evenness is significantly higher in the west than in the east during period 1, the 25 opposite is true after the restoration measure.

1 Initially data were analyzed separately for each of the strata. Observed patterns through time 2 in each of the strata were however largely the same for all the macrofauna indicators, with the 3 only differences the average (baseline) level. Total density, total biomass, number of species 4 and species richness appeared to be always highest in the shallowest stratum and lowest in the 5 deepest stratum. Diversity appeared to be similar in 0-2 and the 2-6 meters strata and much 6 lower in the deepest stratum. Evenness was highest in the deepest stratum and lowest in the 7 shallowest stratum. As the results for the different strata did not add much extra information 8 to our findings, the sampling effort in each of the strata is the same, the data for the strata 9 were aggregated for the results shown (Fig. 5; Fig. 6).

10

11 Numbers of species impacted

12

13 Whereas the number of species that can not be found back each year (disappearing species) 14 when compared to the preceding period is more or less stable throughout the research period 15 (also after the opening of the -Katse Heuleø), the numbers of reappearing and newly found 16 species are significantly increasing after the restoration measure (Table 7; Fig. 7). It has to be 17 taken into account that due to our definition of a reappearing species, no species can be 18 considered as reappearing in the period before 1995 (would be seen as a new species). 19 However, the number of reappearing species is never larger than 1 per year before the 20 restoration measure and increases to 6.25 ± 3.30 on average for the period after the measure. 21 Further the year 2004, included in the *afterøperiod* consists in fact of a monitoring that 22 occurred before and after the re-opening. Although some new species also did arrive before 23 the restoration measure, there is a significant increase in their number of 5.2 to 8.7 on a yearly 24 basis after the re-opening.

1 Discussion

2

3 Deterioration of the lake system

4

5 As indicated in earlier studies (Coosen et al., 1990; Prinsen et al., 2005; Craeymeersch and De 6 Vries, 2007) and shown by the results of this study, the Lake Veere ecosystem continuously 7 deteriorated until the restoration measure was taken in 2004. The water transparency was poor 8 and decreasing, the nutrient levels were high, and anoxic conditions frequently arose due to 9 stratification, algal blooms and the seasonal decay of the abundant macro-algae. Part of the 10 problem was related to the main function of the lake; serving as a drainage basin for 11 superfluous nutrient-rich polder water and to the reduced exchange with the open sea, or with 12 the Eastern Scheldt. The counterbalanced water level regime, maintained to support the 13 drainage function (Prinsen et al., 2005), did worsen the situation as the yearly inlet of salt 14 water in spring induces stratification. The stratification was permanent and the pycnocline 15 was rather shallow in the eastern part. In the western part, the stratification built up seasonally 16 and mostly occurred at larger depths (Prinsen et al., 2005). Stratification led to hypoxic and anoxic conditions especially at the bottom of the lake, generally during summer and more 17 18 frequent in the deeper and the eastern areas (Fig. 4; Table 4). Further the reduction of the 19 water level in autumn led to massive mortality of macro-algae and macrofauna when they fell 20 dry (Escaravage et al., 2003; Craeymeersch and De Vries, 2007). 21 This dramatic deterioration of the system counteracted however with the natural and

recreational functions which are of growing importance for the managers and authorities as a response to the concerns by the public at large and the Water Framework Directive (Prinsen et al., 2005). The large density of macro-algae suspended throughout the water column, floating on the water surface and piling up as stinky mats on the shores made the lake much less

1 attractive for e.g. swimmers, surfers and divers than it used to be (Prinsen et al., 2005; Van 2 Avesaath et al., 2008a). Parallel with the recreational value, the nature value of the lake was 3 decreasing as shown by the decreasing macrozoobenthos densities (Fig. 5a,b; Table 5) and 4 species richness (Fig. 6; Table 6) and the exceptional low biomass that was observed just 5 before the opening of the -Katse Heuleø(Fig. 5c,d). Although macrofauna is not a priority 6 target for nature management (yet minds begin to shift), the decrease in this living stock will 7 have consequences for foraging waterfowl for which Lake Veere has a well recognized 8 international importance (Coosen et al., 1990; Craeymeersch and De Vries, 2007) and for fish 9 (Seys and Meire, 1988). Therefore it would be highly pertinent to incorporate macrofauna as a 10 target in nature management.

11 As a result of large polder water run off, as indicated by the decreased salinity (Fig. 2; Table 12 2), the situation was particularly bad during the last four years before the restoration measure 13 was taken. During these years the salinity reached a minimum of 10.6. This salinity level was 14 fatal for *Mytilus sp.*, which disappeared from the lake. The decreased salinity was favorable 15 for the development of massive and sustained blooms of green and blue-green algae 16 (Craeymeersch and De Vries, 2007) resulting in a further reduction of the Secchi depth (Fig. 17 2; Table 2). During the eighties the communities were still characterized as rich in crustaceans 18 and juvenile bivalves. As large filter-feeding molluscs like mussels (Mytilus sp.) in particular 19 are not doing well at salinities below 18, salinity is often indicated as the key factor in 20 determining the productivity of the macrobenthic communities (Coosen et al., 1990). The 21 current study shows indeed that bivalves that still represented most of the biomass in the 90øs 22 underwent a clear reduction during the years 2002-2004 (Fig. 2; Table 2). Not only the 23 lowered salinity levels, but also the large fluctuations herein (low in winter, high in summer), 24 might be problematic for a number of macrobenthic species (Craeymeersch and De Vries,

2007) and might explain the decreasing densities and species richness observed here (Fig. 5;
 Fig. 6; Table 5; Table 6).

3 Factors that might also affect the macrobenthic communities are pollutants. The 4 concentrations of most compounds as heavy metals and several organic substances, used to be 5 higher in the past and are low to undetectable since the early 90s (Craeymeersch and De 6 Vries, 2007). Only concentrations of substances as Diuron, Tributyltin and Oestrogens might 7 still be problematic for certain species, but for these substances also concentrations did 8 already decrease before the opening of the :Katse Heuleø(Craeymeersch and De Vries, 2007). 9 Another factor of potential importance for the macrobenthic communities is the disappearance 10 of the seagrass beds. The seagrass biomass dramatically decreased during the first decades 11 after closure of Lake Veere to about 3% of its initial level (ADW) (Prinsen et al., 2005). Only 12 105 ha was left in 1987 which further reduced to 55 ha in 2003 (Prinsen et al., 2005). After 13 2003, the seagrass completely disappeared from Lake Veere (Craeymeersch and De Vries, 14 2007; Van Avesaath et al., 2008a). The loss of seagrass beds has been a common problem in 15 the Netherlands (Van Katwijk et al., 2009). As a consequence of this sparse occurrence of the 16 seagrass beds, the sampling in seagrass meadows remained rare in the present dataset, also in 17 the early years of the MWTL programme.

18

19 Effects of the restoration measure on the water quality

20

The opening of the -Katse Heuleøimmediately led to an increase of the salinity and a decrease of the salinity variation (Fig. 2; Table 2). Nutrient levels dropped rapidly, especially phosphate, and the water transparency improved fast especially during the first complete year after the opening, which is 2005. The development of algal bloom already initiated in the spring of 2004, preceded during that year after the opening. The improvements in water

1 quality were not paralleled with similar trends in the macrozoobenthic parameters (Fig. 5; Fig. 2 6). This delay in the response of macrofauna could be reasonably attributed to the low rates of 3 population renewal for the mostly long-living macrofauna species and to the limited rate of 4 immigration from the Eastern Scheldt. Whereas macrofauna densities fast increased in the 5 western part during the first year, probably as a result of the quick response of opportunistic 6 species, the general trend in densities did resume the long term decrease. The species richness 7 did also not show an improvement during the first four years after the restoration measure. 8 Just before the re-opening of the lake the total biomass strongly decreased. This was largely an effect of a strong decrease in bivalve biomass. In 2005 the bivalve populations and 9 10 therefore the total biomass were restored to the level of 2002. Previous studies (Petersen et al., 11 2008) have shown that a large increase in filter-feeder biomass can result in a significant 12 improvement of the water transparency. This was not the case here as the improvement in 13 water transparency preceded the increase in bivalve biomass. Developments in bivalves might 14 only explain a slight further improvement of the transparency after 2004. This in contrast to 15 the Danish case of a brackish lagoon with an increase in salinity from average 7.9 to 10.1, 16 where the measure was followed by a large increase in *Mya arenaria* biomass that resulted in 17 a transition to a clear water state (Petersen et al., 2008). Yet, in Lake Veere where salinities 18 were mostly above 14, Mya arenaria was already a dominant species before the opening. A 19 species that might in the future have an effect on the water transparency is the oyster 20 Crassostrea gigas. This oyster that was originally introduced in the Netherlands for the 21 purpose of cultivation during the 60s might be considered nowadays as an invasive species 22 which massively colonize all kind of substrates in the Eastern Scheldt (Escaravage et al., 23 2006; Troost et al., 2009). This species has indeed been shown as being able to develop huge 24 biomasses when conditions are favorable (Cardoso et al., 2007). C. gigas has been observed at 25 several locations in Lake Veere since 1996 (Escaravage et al., 2006; Van Avesaath et al.,

2008b) but the total biomass did not increase after the restoration measure in such proportions
 that effects on water transparency should be expected yet.

3 Whereas filter-feeders appeared not to be responsible for the increasing water transparency in 4 the lake after the opening, another process might be involved. The main characteristic of Lake 5 Veere as a water system was the combination of both large nutrient inputs and a long (about 6 180 days) residence time of the water in the lake (Coosen et al., 1990). With the opening of 7 the Katse Heuleg the flushing frequency increased from 1.5 times a year to about 5.5 times 8 (Craeymeersch and De Vries, 2007). As the nutrient inputs to the lake did not significantly 9 change after the opening, the drop in nutrient levels that was observed after the opening (Fig. 10 2) can be explained by the dilution due to the increase in the flushing frequency. The drop in 11 nutrient levels together with the increased salinity reduced the amplitude of the phytoplankton 12 blooms and led together with the increased dilution of suspended matter to improved water 13 transparency.

14 Another important improvement as a result of the increased water exchange is the oxygen 15 condition in the lake. Anoxic conditions have become rare and also hypoxia is almost absent 16 from the eastern part of the lake (Fig. 4) where stratification has also become very rare. This 17 is a consequence of the decrease in the difference of salinity between the incoming Eastern 18 Scheldt water and the water present in Lake Veere whereby the mixing between these water 19 masses is much improved when compared with the situation before the opening. The limited 20 improvement of the oxygen conditions in the western area mostly results from the persistence 21 of stratification that is induced by the floating of fresh polder water on top of the salt water. 22 The effect of improved mixing conditions is fading towards the west.

23

24 Effects of the restoration measure on the macrozoobenthic communities

Why did the improvements in water quality not lead to increasing macrozoobenthic densities,
biomass and species richness? Precise species changes are difficult to predict, but
hydrological modifications in either fresh- or saltwater exchange have been shown to often
lead to major changes in lagoon ecosystems (Borja, 2005). On the other hand, waters with a
good or improved chemical status, but with a poor or decreasing ecological status, are not
uncommon (Borja, 2005; Badosa et al., 2008).

7 It is often shown that the response of the benthic organisms to environmental changes can 8 generally not be reduced to increasing and/or decreasing numbers and weight. The response 9 of communities to environmental conditions is often more a matter of differences in species 10 composition concerning tolerant and sensitive species and changes in distribution over trophic 11 and taxonomical levels (e.g. Lardicci et al., 2001; Munari and Mistri, 2005; Pranovi et al., 12 2008; Como and Magni, 2009). As the environmental conditions completely changed, a shift 13 in the present communities towards others that might be better adapted to the new conditions 14 is expected to need a certain time to take place. High densities are not always indicative for 15 good environmental conditions, especially not when Polychaeta dominate. A gradual change 16 into more diverse communities with a larger proportion of e.g. Bivalvia, Gastropoda and 17 Malacostraca, might result in a further decrease of the densities. With respect to the biomass, 18 improved environmental conditions should induce an increase in biomass in those cases when 19 species as bivalves which are often dominant in biomass, were already present in the system 20 and profit from the newly created situation. Moreover most bivalves are slowly growing and 21 thus need several years to build up a considerable biomass. This seems to be partly the case in 22 Lake Veere with respect to the slow rebuilding of the bivalve stock after the collapse in 2002. 23 After a significant improvement in the environmental conditions a successful (re)colonization 24 from adjacent areas is also needed for populations to actually expand. Besides the physical 25 opportunities that are required for a species to reach a new area, also the conditions in this

1 area might delay or prevent the successful settlement. Competition from the present 2 communities can delay or prevent successful settlement, even if those communities are 3 already deteriorated. Also the physical or chemical constitution of the environment can play a 4 role in whether or not there is successful settlement. Montserrat et al. (2008) and Van Colen et 5 al. (2008) showed that a plot that was artificially defaunated by asphyxia, showed a different 6 composition of the sediment in terms of cohesiveness and sediment strength due to the lack of 7 bioturbation and biodiffusion. The communities went through a series of succession states 8 starting with a microphytobenthic community to a community of tube builders before 9 gradually surface disruptors and pelletisers returned. After half a year, biodiffusers, 10 predominantly bivalves generally responsible for the largest part of the biomass, were still 11 largely lacking, and this in a small defaunated plot surrounded by plenty potential 12 recolonizers. This might explain the lack of response of the communities in terms of densities, 13 species richness and especially biomass in our study, particularly in the eastern part although 14 oxygen conditions did significantly improve there. The process that took at least much more 15 than half a year in the experiment described by Montserrat et al. (2008) and Van Colen et al. 16 (2008), might take at least more than three and a half years in our case as recolonization in the 17 much larger defaunated areas of Lake Veere is probably more dependent of larval recruitment 18 instead of adult settlement. This is in line with the recordings of Beukema et al. (1999) who 19 found no complete recovery of the biomass within 4 years after anoxia in the Wadden Sea at, 20 compared to the current study, relatively small patches with good opportunities for 21 recolonization from the surroundings. In an Italian lagoon specifically species richness was 22 still reduced six years after two severe anoxic events, and the partial recovery of the species 23 numbers was largely due to an increase in opportunistic species (Lardicci et al., 2001). 24 Recovery in Lake Veere might have been easier in areas where anoxic events were short and 25 infrequent viz. the west, and where although oxygen conditions did improve much less than in

1 the east (Fig. 4; Table 4), communities profited from other improvements like light conditions 2 and decreased salinity fluctuations (Fig. 2; Table 2). The difference between the eastern and 3 the western part, which is already initially present, but also results in a different response to 4 the opening of the Katse Heuleø seems to be especially the presence of bivalves including 5 adults and higher year-classes in the west, as shown by the difference in biomass between the 6 two parts in combination with more comparable densities (Fig. 5; Table 5). The initial 7 difference in communities of the eastern and the western part of Lake Veere is the result of 8 the combination of differences in sediment composition, more sandy in the west versus more 9 silty in the east, and the slightly better oxygen conditions in the west. 10 As our analyses are based on an average for three strata which are in reality of different 11 importance due to the proportional distribution of area over the strata, observed patterns are in 12 reality stronger. Highest densities and biomass but also strongest trends have been observed in 13 the 0-2 meters stratum, of which 61 % of the eastern part of the lake consists. In the western 14 part of the lake where changes were of minor importance, the deepest stratum with lowest 15 densities and biomass is most common. 16 17 First signs of changing communities 18 19 As large changes in the macrozoobenthic descriptors are awaited, one can wonder whether 20 there will be positive effects of the restoration measures on the macrozoobenthic communities 21 in the future. The first signs of changing communities are indeed already there as shown by 22 Fig. 7. A few species that had disappeared from the samples or did become rare for several 23 years, have returned since the opening of the Katse Heuleø Also several new species have 24 arrived, most probably entering Lake Veere from the Eastern Scheldt. This process occurs 25 without increased mortality (Table 7). It might be expected that some of the species

1 disappearing after the re-opening of the lake suffer from the increased salinity range and that 2 the new species are more related to strict marine environments. The salinity range, as 3 observed and described in the literature for the species that underwent significant changes in 4 density around the restoration measure, is shown in Fig. 8 and compared to the salinity ranges 5 found in the centre of the lake during the periods before and after the measure. Four species 6 that used to be common and abundant have completely disappeared from the samples after the 7 measure. These species are all four typical species that can cope with a wide range of 8 salinities. These species can be found at very low to high or in the cases of Hydrobia ventrosa 9 and Chironomus salinarius, at extremely high salinities. For each of the four species, the 10 current salinity range on it self can not be the problem. Among those species that significantly 11 decreased in numbers, there might be a few examples that were on the edge of their tolerance 12 range, with *Rhithropanopeus harrisii* probably more tolerant to polyhaline waters than 13 indicated here. For at least two species that decrease in their distribution, the current salinity 14 range can not be the problem. These species are Nereis diversicolor, although larvae are less 15 tolerant than adults (Wolff, 1973; Barnes, 1994), and Ficopomatus enigmaticus. 16 The observations, however, show a good match with the theory of confinement as described 17 by Guelorget and Perthuisot (1989) whereby euryhaline species are typical for confined 18 waters which can be either brackish or hypersaline (Escaravage et al., 2009). The species 19 Nereis diversicolor, Chironomus salinarius and the Oligochaeta, a subclass which also 20 completely disappeared from the samples after the measure, are typical for confinement zones 21 4 to 5 and 5 (Guelorget and Perthuisot, 1989), meaning at large relative distance from the sea. 22 It is clear that this is exactly what happened with Lake Veere at the opening of the -Katse 23 Heuleø The confined water body received an increased exchange with the tidal marine bay 24 Eastern Scheldt, resulting in a closer connection to the sea. Most species that either increased 25 in occurrence, reappeared or were found at first in the lake, show smaller salinity tolerance

1 ranges than the losing species, with minimum salinities often several units above the 2 minimum salinity of the former range for Lake Veere (Fig. 8). Except for Nassarius nitidus, 3 all profiting species are known as real marine species (indicated in Fig. 8 with a salinity 4 tolerance range up to 40). The increase in salinity and the decrease in salinity variability 5 together with the improved recruitment opportunities have definitely played a role for a large 6 number of profiting species. There are indications that euryhaline species that are typical for 7 less confined environments are also profiting from the new situation. As the confinement 8 theory was specifically developed on Mediterranean cases, only three of the profiting species 9 in Lake Veere are classified in the study of Guelorget and Perthuisot (1989). These three 10 species; Capitella capitata and Nephtys hombergii which significantly increased in 11 occurrence, and the returned species Corbula gibba, are all typical for confinement zone 3; an 12 area with medium exchange with the open sea. This is well in line with the Eastern Scheldt 13 being classified as zone 1 to 2 (Escaravage et al., 2009). Some species profited from the new 14 situation with increased salinity and the absence of a salinity barrier between Eastern Scheldt 15 and Lake Veere. For other species the increase in exchange, co-occurring with less 16 eutrophied, better oxygenated, better mixed conditions improves their competitive position. Increased water exchange will also reduce pollutant concentrations by dilution and discharge 17 18 to the Eastern Scheldt. Together with the nutrient status, of which it was shown that it has 19 improved by a significant decrease of the concentrations (Fig. 2; Table 2), these aspects 20 determine the environmental stress to which species are related in the Marine Biotic Index, 21 AMBI (Borja et al., 2000). When classifying the species that disappeared or significantly 22 decreased due to the restoration measure (losing species) and the species that did profit from 23 the measure over the five ecological classes defined by Borja et al. (2000), it appears that the 24 losing species are on average typical for group 3 to 4 (Fig. 9), which means characteristic for 25 mainly polluted environments or a benthic community from healthy in transition to pollution.

1 Indeed in Lake Veere some potentially toxic substances transgressed the Environmental 2 Quality Standards of the EU (Prinsen et al., 2005). This results in the lake being classified as 3 at riskøaccording to the EU Water Framework Directive (Prinsen et al., 2005; Craeymeersch 4 and De Vries, 2007). However, the profiting species are on average typical for group 2, and 5 although there were also several species typical for group 3, the same number of species were 6 typical for group 1. On basis of these species, the lake would be classified according to Borja et al. (2000) as unpolluted to slightly polluted with a benthic õcommunity-healthö indicated as 7 8 generally impoverished, ranging from normal to unbalanced.

9 It has to be noticed that when the selection of the profiting and losing species is solely based 10 on occurrences in samples, the heterogeneity of the lake is not taken into account. The first 11 sightings of species might occur in good quality or significantly improved areas of the lake 12 whereas other zones might still be deteriorated. However, as mixing and exchange has 13 improved in the entire lake, which also accounts for oxygen conditions and salinity 14 fluctuations, it is reasonable to assume that the developments in species composition are not 15 respondings to local conditions but are representative for the whole system. The observed 16 delay in macrozoobenthic communities responding to improved water quality conditions after 17 the restoration measure can also be the effect of less improvement of quality in the sediment. 18 Moreover improved mixing and an increase of bottom currents can result in resuspension or 19 uncovering of sediments, nutrients and pollutants (e.g. Lardicci et al., 2001; Gikas et al., 20 2006; Flower et al., 2009). Such processes might have worsened the situation, particularly in 21 the eastern part of Lake Veere. On basis of the significant changes in the macrozoobenthic 22 communities that were consistent with the confinement and AMBI classifications, it can 23 however be concluded that water quality improvements are also gradually becoming visible in 24 the macrofauna assemblages. The first new species are arriving, and it is probably a matter of 25 time before densities, species richness and especially the biomass will increase. It can be

expected that in the future the benthic communities in the eastern area will become richer and
 reach higher biomasses which could exceed those of the western area as it appears that
 particularly the deeper parts in the west remain stagnant and anoxic and might not recover at
 all.

5

- 6 Conclusions
- 7

8 The current study shows that after a long period of deterioration as reflected in water quality 9 and macrozoobenthic parameters, the restoration of the exchange of water between an 10 enclosed brackish lagoon and a marine bay can immediately lead to improved water quality 11 conditions. Although the continuous nutrient input did not change, the improved water 12 exchange leads to a lowering of the nutrient concentrations by dilution and nutrient export. 13 This results together with increased salinity levels in an improvement of the water 14 transparency by a reduction of the occurrences of algal blooms. As for the nutrient conditions, 15 also the pollution status of the water body will improve in a similar way as a result of a higher 16 flushing. Nevertheless the density, biomass and species richness of the total macrozoobenthos 17 do not directly follow the increasing water quality. Still some changes in the 18 macrozoobenthos and transition of species can be found, with some species decreasing and 19 others arriving new or reappearing. The transition described here is that of a confined lagoon 20 turned into a water body with more communication with the open sea. Following the water 21 quality improvements also the macrozoobenthic communities will gradually change. Species 22 typical for confined waters will gradually be replaced by those typical for water bodies with 23 more communication with the open sea, whereas concurrently species typical for polluted 24 environments are replaced by species which are indicators for good ecosystem health. In the 25 current stage, three and a half year after the opening, the first indications of changes of the

macrozoobenthic communities along the confinement scale and the AMBI classification are
found, particularly in the proportion between the number of disappearing/decreasing species
and the number of newly arriving/reappearing/increasing species.

4 An important characteristic that was changed with the restoration measure was the increase in 5 salinity and the decrease in the salinity fluctuations leading to improved oxygen conditions as 6 a result of decreased occurrences of stratification. It is expected that these improvements will 7 on the longer term lead to changes in the macrozoobenthic assemblages, viz. from 8 communities with high polychaete numbers (low individual biomass) to high biomass bivalve 9 dominated communities. It should be kept in mind however that these developments can be 10 seriously delayed in large areas which used to have severe anoxic conditions. Such areas have 11 to undergo a series of successional stages before the desired bivalve species can settle 12 successfully. Recolonization might also be seriously hampered by the lack of especially larger 13 sized (adult) recruits in the vicinity. Communities that are impoverished by hypoxia but that 14 still contain a more diverse fauna with bivalves are shown to restore more quickly. Further, 15 also resuspension and uncovering of pollutants and nutrients due to changed currents at the 16 bottom, can delay the response of the macrozoobenthic communities. The current study shows 17 that water exchange management is important for the ecological functioning of lagoons, 18 especially when nutrient inputs are high. Whereas restoration measures improving the water 19 exchange can have direct effects on water quality parameters, the large effects on 20 macrozoobenthic communities might take years to decades to occur.

21

22 Acknowledgements

23

The authors like to thank the research assistants of the Monitor Taskforce (NIOO-CEME) for the collection and determination of macrozoobenthic samples. We are grateful to Rijkswaterstaat (RWS), who funded the sampling programme used for this study. Thanks to the Hydro Meteo Centre (Meetadviesdienst) of RWS Zeeland and Piet

Lievense in particular, who kindly made available the TSO measurements. Thanks to the organization of the
 ASLO meeting 2009 (Nice) and the organizers of the -Medium and long-term recovery of marine and estuarine
 systemsøsession in particular, for inviting us to present the current study in their special session. This is
 publication xxxx of the Netherlands Institute of Eology (NIOO-KNAW), and Monitor Taskforce Publication
 Series 2009-xx.

6

7 References

- 8
- 9 Armitage, P.D., P.S. Cranston, and L.C.V. Pinder. 1995. The Chironomidae: Biology and
 10 ecology of non-biting midges. Dordrecht: Springer.
- 11 Badosa, A., D. Boix, S. Brucet, R. López-Flores, and X.D. Quintana. 2008. Short-term

12 variation in the ecological status of a Mediterranean coastal lagoon (NE Iberian Peninsula)

13 after a man-made change of hydrological regime. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and

- 14 Freshwater Ecosystems 18:1078-1090.
- 15 Beukema, J.J., E.C. Flach, R. Dekker, and M. Starink. 1999. A long-term study of the
- 16 recovery of the macrozoobenthos on large defaunated plots on a tidal flat in the Wadden Sea.
- 17 Journal of Sea Research 42:235-254.
- 18 Borja, A., J. Franco, and V. Pérez. 2000. A Marine Biotic Index to establish the ecological
- 19 quality of soft-bottom benthos within European estuarine and coastal environments. Marine
- 20 Pollution Bulletin 40:1100-1114.
- 21 Borja, A. 2005. The European water framework directive: A challenge for nearshore, coastal
- and continental shelf research. Continental Shelf Research 25:1768-1783.
- 23 Cardoso, J.F.M.F., D. Langlet, J.F. Loff, A.R. Martins, J.IJ. Witte, P.T. Santos, and H.W. Van
- 24 der Veer. 2007. Spatial variability in growth and reproduction of the Pacific oyster
- 25 Crassostrea gigas (Thunberg, 1793) along the west European coast. Journal of Sea Research
- 26 57:303-315.

- 1 Como, S., and P. Magni. 2009. Temporal changes of a macrobenthic assemblage in harsh
- 2 lagoon sediments. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 83:638-646.
- 3 Connor, D.W., J.H. Allen, N. Golding, K.L. Howell, L.M. Lieberknecht, K.O. Northern, and
- 4 J.B. Reker. 2004. The marine habitat classification for Britain and Ireland, version 04.05.
- 5 Peterborough: JNCC.
- 6 Coosen, J., P. Meire, J.J. Stuart, and J. Seys. 1990. Trophic relationships in brackish Lake
- 7 Veere: the role of macrophytes. In Trophic relationships in the marine environment;
- 8 Proceedings of the 24th European Marine Biology Symposium, eds. M. Barnes and R.N.
- 9 Gibson, 404-423. Aberdeen: Aberdeen University Press.
- 10 Craeymeersch, J., and I. De Vries. 2007. Waterkwaliteit en ecologie Veerse Meer: het tij is
- 11 gekeerd. Middelburg: Rijkswaterstaat Rijksinstituut voor Kust en Zee, Rapport
- 12 RIKZ/2007.008.
- 13 Eno, N.C., R.A. Clarke, and W.G. Sanderson. 2009. Directory of non-native marine species in
- British waters [on-line]. Peterborough: JNCC. <u>http://www.jncc.gov.uk/page-1700</u> Accessed
 30 Mar 2009.
- 16 Escaravage, V., and H. Hummel. 2003. Macrobenthos dynamiek Veerse Meer (periode 1990-
- 17 2003). Yerseke: NIOO-CEME Rapport 2003-03.
- 18 Escaravage, V., and H. Hummel. 2009. Macrobenthic community structure along a marine
- 19 gradient in man-shaped water bodies (South West Netherland); a typology for confined
- 20 brackish waters. Submitted to Marine Ecology Progress Series.
- 21 Escaravage, V., P. Van Avesaath, M. Dubbeldam and J. Craeymeersch. 2006. Onderzoek naar
- 22 de ontwikkeling van de Japanse oester in het Veerse Meer onder verschillende
- 23 peilalternatieven. Yerseke: NIOO-CEME Rapport 2006-02.
- 24 Flower, R.J., P.G. Appleby, J.R. Thompson, M.H. Ahmed, M. Ramdani, L. Chouba, N. Rose,
- 25 R. Rochester, F. Ayache, M.M. Kraiem, N. Elkhiati, S. El Kafrawy, H. Yang, and E.K.

- 1 Rasmussen. Sediment distribution and accumulation in lagoons of the Southern
- 2 Mediterranean Region (the MELMARINA Project) with special reference to environmental
- 3 change and aquatic ecosystems. Hydrobiologia 622:85-112.
- 4 Gikas, G.D, T. Yiannakopoulou, and V.A. Tsihrintzis. Water quality trends in a coastal
- 5 lagoon impacted by non-point source pollution after implementation of protective measures.
- 6 Hydrobiologia 563:385-406.
- 7 Gray, J.S., and M. Elliott. 2009. Ecology of marine sediments. From science to management,
- 8 2nd edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 9 Guelorget, O., and J.-P. Pertuisot. 1989. The paralic realm. Geological, biological and
- 10 Economic expressions of confinement. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the
- 11 United Nations.
- 12 Hammer, U.T. 1986. Saline lake ecosystems of the world. Monographiae Biologicae.
- 13 Dordrecht: Dr W. Junk Publishers.
- 14 Hill, J.M. 2008. *Liocarcinus depurator*. Harbour crab. Marine Life Information Network:
- 15 Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Sub-programme [on-line]. Plymouth: Marine
- 16 Biological Association of the United Kingdom.
- 17 http://www.marlin.ac.uk/species/Liocarcinusdepurator.htm. Accessed 30 Mar 2009.
- 18 Hubenov, Z. 2007. Fauna and zoogeography of marine, freshwater, and terrestrial mollusks
- 19 (Mollusca) in Bulgaria. In Biogeography and ecology of Bulgaria, eds. V. Fet and A. Popov,
- 20 141-198. Dordrecht: Springer.
- 21 Lardicci, C., S. Como, S. Corti, and F. Rossi. 2001. Changes and recovery of
- 22 macrozoobenthic communities after restoration measures of the Orbetello Lagoon (Tyrrhenian
- coast, Italy). Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 11:281-287.
- 24 Montserrat, F., C. Van Colen, S. Degraer, T. Ysebaert, and P.M.J. Herman. 2008. Benthic
- community-mediated sediment dynamics. Marine Ecology Progress Series 372:43-59.

Munari, C., and M. Mistri. 2007. Evaluation of the applicability of a fuzzy index of ecosystem
 integrity (FINE) to characterize the status of Tyrrhenian lagoons. Marine Environmental

3 Research 64:629-638.

- 4 Nienhuis, P.H., and A.C. Smaal. 1994. The Oosterschelde estuary, a case-study of a changing
 5 ecosystem: an introduction. Hydrobiologia 282/283:1-14.
- 6 Norling, P., and N. Kautsky. 2007. Structural and functional effects of *Mytilus edulis* on
- diversity of associated species and ecosystem functioning. Marine Ecology Progress Series
 351:163-175.
- 9 Pavoni, B., E. Centanni, S. Valcanover, M. Fasolato, S. Ceccato, and D. Tagliapietra. 2007.
- 10 Imposex levels and concentrations of organotin compounds (TBT and its metabolites) in
- 11 *Nassarius nitidus* from the Lagoon of Venice. Marine Pollution Bulletin 55:505-511.
- 12 Petersen, J.K., J.W. Hansen, M.B. Laursen, P. Clausen, J. Carstensen, and D.J. Conley. 2008.
- 13 Regime shift in a coastal marine ecosystem. Ecological Applications 18:497-510.
- 14 Ponti, M., M.A. Colangelo, and V.U. Ceccherelli. 2007. Composition, biomass and secundary
- 15 production of the macrobenthic invertebrate assemblages in a coastal lagoon exploited for
- 16 extensive aquaculture: Valle Smarlacca (northern Adriatic Sea). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
- 17 Science 75:79-89.
- 18 Pranovi, F., F. Da Ponte, and P. Torricelli. 2008. Historical changes in the structure and
- 19 functioning of the benthic community in the lagoon of Venice. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf20 Science 76:753-764.
- 21 Prinsen, H.A.M., P. Schouten, and T.J. Boudewijn. 2005. Haalbaarheid VHR/KRW
- 22 doelstellingen bij verschillende peilalternatieven voor het Veerse Meer. Culemborg: Bureau
- 23 Waardenburg bv, Rapport nr. 05-237.
- 24 Samuelsen, T.J. 1970. The biology of six species of Anomura (Crustacea, Decapoda) from
- 25 Raunefjorden, western Norway. Sarsia 45:25-52.

- 1 Schroeder, A. 2005. Community dynamics and development of soft bottom macrozoobenthos
- 2 in the German Bight (North Sea) 1969 ó 2000. Bremerhaven: Alfred-Wegener-Institut für
- 3 Polar- und Meeresforschung, Dissertation 494.
- 4 Seys, J., and P. Meire. 1988. Macrobenthos van het Veerse Meer. Gent: Rijksuniversiteit
- 5 Gent, Rapport W.W.E. 4.
- 6 Surugiu, V., and M. Feunteun. 2008. The structure and distribution of polychaete populations
- 7 influenced by sewage from the Romanian coast of the Black Sea. Analele tiin ifice ale
- 8 Universit ii õAl. I. Cuzaö Ia i, s. Biologie animal 54:177-184.
- 9 Troost, K., E. Gelderman, P. Kamermans, A.C. Smaal, and W.J. Wolff. 2009. Effects of an
- 10 increasing filter feeder stock on larval abundance in the Oosterschelde estuary (SW
- 11 Netherlands). Journal of Sea Research 61:153-164.
- 12 Tunberg, B.G., and D. Krång. 2008. Composition and temporal change of the macrofauna in a
- 13 shallow, sandy bottom in the Lysekil archipelago, western Sweden. Marine Biology Research
- 14 4:458-469.
- 15 Van Avesaath, P.H., A. Engelberts, M. Bergmeijer, O.A. Van Hoesel, and H. Hummel.
- 16 2008a. Verspreiding van abundante macro-algen in het Veerse Meer 2008. Yerseke: NIOO-
- 17 CEME, Report, Monitor Taskforce Publication Series 2008-9.
- 18 Van Avesaath, P.H., A. Engelberts, M. Bergmeijer, O.A. Van Hoesel, and H. Hummel.
- 19 2008b. Verspreiding van Japanse oesters in het Veerse Meer 2008. Yerseke: NIOO-CEME,
- 20 Report, Monitor Taskforce Publication Series 2008-8.
- 21 Van Colen, C., F. Montserrat, M. Vincx, P.M.J. Herman, T. Ysebaert, and S. Degraer. 2008.
- 22 Macrobenthic recovery from hypoxia in an estuarine tidal mudflat. Marine Ecology Progress
- 23 Series 372:31-42.
- 24 Van Katwijk, M.M., A.R. Bos, V.N. de Jonge, L.S.A.M. Hanssen, D.C.R. Hermus, and D.J.
- 25 de Jong. 2009. Guidelines for seagrass restoration: Importance of habitat selection and donor

- population, spreading of risks, and ecosystem engineering effects. Marine Pollution Bulletin
 58:179-188.
- 3 Wolff, W.J., and A.J.J. Sandee. 1971. Distribution and ecology of the Decapoda Reptantia of
- 4 the estuarine area of the rivers Rhine, Meuse, and Scheldt. Netherlands Journal of Sea
- 5 Research 5:197-226.
- 6 Wolff, W.J. 1973. The estuary as a habitat: an analysis of data on the soft-bottom macrofauna
- 7 of the estuarine area of the rivers Rhine, Meuse, and Scheldt. Zoologische Verhandelingen
- 8 126:1-242.
- 9

1 Figure legends

2

3 Fig. 1 Lake Veere in the Netherlands and the positioning of stations for abiotic measurements. 4 The dashed lines in the map indicate the borders of the for macrozoobenthos sampled western 5 and eastern part of the lake. The graph indicates the depth in meters at the stations which are 6 situated in the main gully of the lake. Stations 18, 19 and 20 are situated outside Lake Veere 7 in the Eastern Scheldt as indicated with a dashed line. 8 9 Fig. 2 Developments in the abiotic characteristics salinity (a), secchi depth in dm (b), nitrogen 10 as mg N/l (c) and phosphate as mg P/l (d) of Lake Veere between January 1988 and June 11 2008 as measured in the surface water of the station -Soelekerkepolder Oostøin the centre of 12 the lake. The opening of the -Katse Heuleøconnection between the Eastern Scheldt and Lake Veere on June the 8th 2004 is indicated with a dashed line. 13 14 15 Fig. 3 Developments in salinity conditions at the bottom of Lake Veere as measured at 20 16 stations. Average salinity \pm standard deviation is indicated respectively for the periods 17 January 1995 to July 2000, July 2000 to July 2004, and July 2004 to July 2008. The 18 distinguished periods refer to the period after the opening of the -Katse Heuleø(Jul04-Jul08), 19 and two similar sized periods before the opening. Stations 18, 19 and 20 are situated outside 20 Lake Veere in the Eastern Scheldt (indicated with a dashed line) and were not visited during 21 the period January 1995 to July 2000. 22 23 Fig. 4 Developments in oxygen conditions at the bottom of Lake Veere as measured at 20

stations. The ratio of hypoxia days over the total year (R_{hypox}) defined as oxygen contents

25 below 3 mg/l (a) and anoxia days over the total year (R_{anox}) defined as oxygen contents below

0.5 mg/l (b) is indicated respectively for the periods January 1995 to July 2000, July 2000 to
 July 2004, and July 2004 to July 2008. Stations 18, 19 and 20 are situated outside Lake Veere
 in the Eastern Scheldt (indicated with a dashed line) and were not visited during the period
 January 1995 to July 2000.

5

Fig. 5 Developments in macrozoobenthos during the period autumn 1990 to autumn 2007 in the western and the eastern part of Lake Veere. Developments in macrofauna densities in numbers per m² for the western (a) and the eastern (b) part, and developments in macrofauna biomass in mg ash-free dry-weight (ADW) per m² for the western (c) and the eastern (d) part, separated in the most abundant taxonomical classes. The opening of the +Katse Heuleø connection between the Eastern Scheldt and Lake Veere on June the 8th 2004 is indicated with a dashed line.

13

Fig. 6 Developments in the macrobenthic communities of Lake Veere during the period
autumn 1990 to autumn 2007. Developments in species richness according to Margalef (a)
and in evenness according to Pielou (b) for the western and the eastern part of the lake. The
opening of the -Katse Heuleøconnection between the Eastern Scheldt and Lake Veere on June
the 8th 2004 is indicated with a dashed line.

19

Fig. 7 Developments in newly arrived, reappeared and disappeared species in Lake Veere.
The monitoring of autumn 1990 and spring and autumn 1991 function as the initial set of
species. Species are new when they have not been observed before and are found at least in
two years or are found for the first time in 2007; species are indicated as have been
disappeared when they are absent for at least the three following years after that they have
been observed frequently before. Species are indicated as have been reappeared when they are

observed at least in two years after an absence period of at least three years, or reappear in the
 year 2007. Species only occasionally found in low numbers every once in a while are not
 taken into account.

4

5 Fig. 8 Indication of the salinity range of losing and profiting species by the opening of the 6 :Katse Heuleøconnection between the Eastern Scheldt and Lake Veere. Salinity ranges of 7 species extracted from literature are indicated, at which -own data@refers to observations of 8 the NIOO-CEME in the Dutch South-western delta and the North Sea during nineteen years 9 of monitoring (1990-2008), at which singular observations at certain salinities are not taken 10 into account. The former (1990-2004) and current (2004-2008) salinity range occurring in 11 Lake Veere is indicated with respectively dashed and dotted lines. As the maximum salinity 12 for marine species is often not well documented for Western Europe, this value is set at 40 13 when unknown, but might be higher in reality. Losing species can be divided in those of 14 which the occurrence in samples decreased (common species showing a significant change in 15 trend) and species that disappeared (always present from a certain time point before 2004, 16 absent from a certain time point after 2004). Profiting species can be divided in those of 17 which the occurrence in samples increased (common species showing a significant change in 18 trend), species that reappeared (have been absent before 2004 for at least three years) and new 19 species (not observed before 2004 and at least seen during two years after 2004, or found in 20 2007). Infrequently observed species are not taken into account.

21

Fig. 9 The distribution of the losing and profiting species as indicated in Figure 8 over the five ecological classes as described by Borja et al. (2000). Only species assigned in the study of Borja et al. (2000) are taken into account. The distribution over the ecological groups

- 1 differs significantly (t-test) with an average value of 2.23 ± 1.02 for the profiting and a value
- $2 \qquad of \ 3.38 \pm 0.92 \ for \ the \ losing \ species.$

1 Fig. 1







* Salinities differ significantly between Jan95-Jul00 and Jul04-Jul08, and between Jul00-Jul04 and Jul04-Jul08 at p < 0.001 at stations 1 to 17. This also accounts for the standard deviations, except for station 15 (p < 0.01) and station 16 (not significant) between the first and the last period.









1 Fig. 8

Former range Current range Losing species: Disappeared: Chironomus salinarius Cyathura carinata Hydrobia ventrosa Manayunkia aestuarina Decreased: Rhithropanopeus harrisii Ficopomatus enigmaticus Nereis diversicolor Nereis succinea Polydora ligni Pygospio elegans Profiting species: Increased: Capitella capitata Carcinus maenas Nephtys hombergii Tharyx marioni Reappeared: Abra nitida Corbula gibba **GEN-**Anaitides Lanice conchilega Lepidochitona cinerea Platynereis dumerilii Scoloplos armiger New: Acanthocardia paucicostata Aora typica Apherusa bispinosa Ascidiella aspersa Autolytus langerhansi Gyptis rosea Janira maculosa Lepidonotus squamatus Liocarcinus depurator Nassarius nitidus Nephtys cirrosa Ophiodromus flexuosus Pholoe minuta Salvatoria limbata Spirorbis tridentatus Sthenelais boa Syllidia armata Venerupis pullastra 0

----60 a,f,r b,q,r 100 b,e,h,r b,r b,p b,d 63 b,q,r b,q,r b,q,r b,q,r b,q,r b,q,r b,q,r b,q,r r b,r b,r b,q,r i,r n,r b,q,r r b,r b,r c,r r m,o c,r b,r g,r b,j b,q,r c,r b,q,r k,r l,r q,r c,r b,q,r 10 20 30 40 Salinity

*Armitage et al. 1995 (a); Barnes 1994 (b); Connor et al. 2004 (c); Eno et al. 2009 (d); Gray and Elliot 2009 (e); Hammer 1986 (f); Hill 2008 (g); Hubenov 2007 (h); Norling and Kautsky 2007 (i); Pavoni et al. 2007 (j); Ponti et al. 2007 (k); Samuelsen 1970 (l); Schroeder 2005 (m); Surugiu and Feuten 2008 (n); Tunberg and Krång 2008 (o); Wolff and Sandee 1971 (p); Wolff 1973 (q); Own data (r).



Date	Activity
Feb 1953	Flooding disaster South-western Netherlands (approx. 1800 casualties)
1955	Finalization -Delta planøto protect the Dutch coast by compartmentalization and the
	building of dams and sluices; the Veerse Gat inlet should become the fresh water
	Lake Veere
1960	Construction of Zandkreekdam
1961	Construction of Veerse Gatdam
1976	Change of -Delta plang as the Eastern Scheldt will remain a tidal salt water basin,
	Lake Veere will remain a brackish lake
1989	Decision to (re-)connect Lake Veere to the Eastern Scheldt and chance the current
	water level regime with winter (Oct ó Mar) level of -0.7 m NAP and summer (Apr ó
	Sep) level of NAP
2002	Start of building -Katse Heuleø(2 closable tubes through the Zandkreekdam)
Jun 8 th 2004	Opening of -Katse Heuleø, water level winter of -0.6 m NAP and summer of -0.1 m
	NAP (fluctuation range of 0.2 m)
Jul 9 th 2004	-Katse Heuleøclosed for adjustment works
Aug 4 th 2004	-Katse Heuleøpartly re-opened
Nov 6 th 2005	Katse Heuleøclosed during the day for restoration of the streambed
Dec 16 th 2005	-Katse Heuleøpartly opened
Jan 24 th 2006	-Katse Heuleøcompletely opened
Oct 2008	Winter water level adjusted to -0.5 m NAP
Planning 2009	Adjusting summer water level to -0.05 m NAP and winter water level to -0.4 m NAP
Planning 2010	Adjusting winter water level to -0.3 m NAP
$^{1}NAP = Amsterdar$	n Ordnance Datum

 Table 1 Historic overview of important events and changes related to Lake Veere.

²After Prinsen et al. (2005) and Craeymeersch and De Vries (2007)

1 Table 2 Comparison of the abiotic conditions during the periods January 1988 to July 2000,

July 2000 to July 2004, and July 2004 to July 2008, in the surface water as measured at

2 3 station -Soelekerkepolder Oostøin the centre of Lake Veere. Average values ± standard

4 deviations are given per period. Results of ANOVAs and pair-wise significance testing for the

three periods (1, 2 and 3) are shown. p < 0.001 is indicated with ***; ns = not significant.

5 6

Period	Salinity	Secchi (dm)	N (mg/l)	PO ₄ (mg P/l)
1: Jan1988-Jul2000	17.8 ± 3.2	27.9 ± 10.2	1.66 ± 1.05	0.405 ± 0.137
2: Jul2000-Jul2004	15.2 ± 2.5	12.0 ± 7.4	1.65 ± 0.85	0.385 ± 0.125
3: Jul2004-Jul2008	26.5 ± 2.2	21.8 ± 9.3	0.96 ± 0.65	0.144 ± 0.058
Salinity	$R^2 = 0.670$	n = 361	df = 2	p = 0.000
	1 > 2 ***	1 < 3 ***	2 < 3 ***	_
Secchi	$R^2 = 0.314$	n = 361	df = 2	p = 0.000
	1 > 2 ***	1 > 3 ***	2 < 3 ***	
Nitrogen	$R^2 = 0.109$	n = 253	df = 2	p = 0.000
-	1 > 2 ns	1 > 3 ***	2 > 3 ***	_
Phosphate	$R^2 = 0.409$	n = 350	df = 2	p = 0.000
_	1 > 2 ns	1 > 3 ***	2 > 3 ***	-

7

1 Table 3 Comparison of the average salinity and salinity variation as indicated by the standard

deviation (SD) as measured at the bottom of Lake Veere at 17 stations as indicated in Fig. 1,

2 3 4 during the periods January 1995 to July 2000, July 2000 to July 2004, and July 2004 to July

2008. Results of ANOVAs and pair-wise significance testing for the three periods (1, 2 and 3)

are shown. p < 0.001 is indicated with ***; ns = not significant.

5 6

Period	Average salinity	Salinity SD	
1: Jan1988-Jul2000	20.2 ± 2.7	3.47 ± 0.41	
2: Jul2000-Jul2004	19.2 ± 3.3	3.36 ± 0.78	
3: Jul2004-Jul2008	28.5 ± 0.9	1.60 ± 0.26	
Average salinity	1 > 2 ns	1 < 3 ***	2 < 3 ***
$R^2 = 0.748$	n = 51	df = 2	p = 0.000
Salinity variation	1 > 2 ns	1 > 3 ***	2 > 3 ***
$R^2 = 0.735$	n = 51	df = 2	p = 0.000

1 Table 4 Comparison of the average occurrences of hypoxic and anoxic conditions at the

2 3 bottom of Lake Veere during the periods January 1995 to July 2000, July 2000 to July 2004,

and July 2004 to July 2008, as measured at the 17 stations indicated in Fig. 1. Hypoxia and

4 anoxia are measured as the proportional time (scale 0-1) that oxygen levels are respectively

5 below 3 and 0.5 mg/l. Results of ANOVAs and pair-wise significance testing for the three

6 periods (1, 2 and 3) are shown. * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01; ns = not significant.

7

Period	Нурохіа	Anoxia	
1: Jan1988-Jul2000	0.236 ± 0.217	0.136 ± 0.145	
2: Jul2000-Jul2004	0.308 ± 0.247	0.146 ± 0.144	
3: Jul2004-Jul2008	0.075 ± 0.084	0.018 ± 0.029	
<u>Hypoxia</u>	1 < 2 ns	1 > 3 ns	2 > 3 **
$R^2 = 0.207$	n = 51	df = 2	p = 0.004
<u>Anoxia</u>	1 < 2 ns	1 > 3 *	2 > 3 **
$R^2 = 0.202$	n = 51	df = 2	p = 0.004

- 1 **Table 5** Comparison of the developments in densities (n/m^2) and biomasses (mg ADW/m²) of
- 2 the most abundant taxonomical classes and the total of the macrofauna between periods (1:
- 3 autumn 1990 ó spring 2000; 2: autumn 2000 ó spring 2004; 3: autumn 2004 ó autumn 2007)
- 4 and the two regions of Lake Veere (western and eastern part). Shown are the average \pm
- 5 standard deviations per group, and the results of ANOVAs indicating the significance level in
- 6 pair-wise tests (ns = not significant; * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001) and whether
- 7 the first of the two tested groups has the highest (>) or the lowest (<) value.
- 8

a) Density (n	$/m^2$)	Total	Polychaeta	Malacostraca	Gastropoda	Clitellata	Bivalvia
Average ± sta	Average \pm standard deviation						
West	Period 1	$14351 \pm$	$6710 \pm$	1109 ± 870	1960 ±	$2846 \pm$	1439 ±
		8374	5195		2160	1854	1341
	Period 2	7731 ±	4964 ±	525 ± 599	386 ± 128	$1160 \pm$	$628 \pm$
		1885	1251			729	194
	Period 3	$7562 \pm$	4341 ±	294 ± 234	$1093 \pm$	$732 \pm$	$1048 \pm$
		5729	4265		1485	266	969
East	Period 1	$13025 \pm$	7264 ±	661 ± 1022	$1083 \pm$	3256 ±	394 ±
		7649	5712		1433	1894	355
	Period 2	$6362 \pm$	3870 ±	716 ± 506	279 ± 271	1079 ±	327 ±
		2201	1547			555	248
	Period 3	$1758 \pm$	946 ± 673	229 ± 238	31 ± 42	287 ±	147 ± 92
		957				140	
Significance	testing (AN	OVA)				-	
Period 1	W - E	> ns	< ns	> ns	> ns	< ns	> **
Period 2		> ns	> ns	< ns	> ns	> ns	> *
Period 3		>*	> ns	> ns	> ns	> **	> *
West	1 ó 2	> ns	> ns	> ns	> ns	> *	> ns
	1 6 3	> ns	> ns	> ns	> ns	> **	> ns
	$2 \circ 3$	> ns	> ns	> *	< ns	> ns	< ns
East	1 6 2	> *	> ns	< ns	> ns	> **	> ns
Lust	163	> ***	> **	> ns	> ns	> ***	> ns
	263	> ns	> ns	> ns	> ns	> ns	> ns
b) Biomass ($\frac{1}{mg/m^2}$	Total	Polychaeta	Malacostraca	Gastropoda	Clitellata	Bivalvia
Average \pm sta	andard devia	ation	j				
West	Period 1	85256 +	5984 +	777 + 1340	1216 +	377 +	76480 +
		35199	2613		1033	290	34548
	Period 2	53600 ±	4344 ±	746 ± 748	690 ± 530	112 ± 81	47484 ±
		26886	1564				26322
	Period 3	71053 +	2650 +	124 + 201	928 + 800	55 + 29	66947 +
	1 0110 0 0	29164	1331		/ _ 0 _ 000	00 = 2	28787
East	Period 1	29167 ±	6233 ±	1671 ± 6183	574 ± 610	427 ±	$19903 \pm$
		17360	2958			265	15525
	Period 2	15647 ±	5669 ±	836 ± 564	93 ± 61	98 ±48	8876 ±
		8616	1514				7790
	Period 3	17757 ±	2844 ±	1185 ± 2456	290 ± 564	20 ± 13	12496 ±
		9044	1718				7993
Significance	testing (AN	OVA)					
Period 1	W - E	> ***	< ns	< ns	> *	< ns	> ***
Period 2		> **	< ns	< ns	> **	> ns	> ***
Period 3		> ***	< ns	< ns	> ns	> *	> ***
West	1 ó 2	> ns	> ns	> ns	> ns	>*	> ns
	163	> ns	> **	> ns	> ns	> **	> ns
	263	< ns	> ns	> ns	< ns	> ns	< ns
East	$\frac{1}{1} \circ 2$	> ns	> ns	> ns	> ns	> **	> ns
<u></u>	163	> ns	>*	> ns	> ns	- > ***	> ns
	263	< ns	> ns	< ns	< ns	> ns	< ns

1 Table 6 Comparison of the community descriptors species richness according to Margalef (d)

2 3 and evenness according to Pielou (Jø) for the periods autumn 1990 to spring 2000, autumn

2000 to spring 2004, and autumn 2004 to autumn 2007, and for the western and the eastern 4 part of Lake Veere. Average values ± standard deviations are given per period. Results of

5 ANOVAs and pair-wise significance testing for the three periods (1, 2 and 3) and the two

6 regions (West and East) are shown. * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001; ns = not

7 significant.

8

		West		East	
Period		d	Jø	d	Jø
1: Autumn199	90-Spring2000	0.771 ± 0.122	0.731 ± 0.050	0.659 ± 0.077	0.700 ± 0.039
2: Autumn200	00-Spring2004	0.672 ± 0.111	0.787 ± 0.025	0.637 ± 0.115	0.757 ± 0.042
3: Autumn200	04-Autumn2007	0.609 ± 0.099	0.765 ± 0.034	0.431 ± 0.078	0.817 ± 0.039
West	d	$R^2 = 0.266$	n = 35	df = 2	p = 0.007
		1 > 2 ns	1 > 3 **	2 > 3 ns	
West	Jø	$R^2 = 0.251$	n = 35	df = 2	p = 0.010
		1 < 2 *	1 < 3 ns	2 > 3 ns	-
East	d	$R^2 = 0.536$	n = 35	df = 2	p = 0.000
		1 > 2 ns	1 > 3 ***	2 > 3 ***	
East	J¢	$R^2 = 0.603$	n = 35	df = 2	p = 0.000
		1 < 2 **	1 < 3 ***	2 < 3 *	
Period 1	d	$R^2 = 0.238$	n = 40	df = 1	
		West > East	***		
Period 1	J¢	$R^2 = 0.112$	n = 40	df = 1	
		West > East	*		
Period 2	d	$R^2 = 0.026$	n = 16	df = 1	
		West > East	ns		
Period 2	Jø	$R^2 = 0.180$	n = 16	df = 1	
		West > East	ns		
Period 3	d	$R^2 = 0.538$	n = 14	df = 1	
		West > East	**		
Period 3	Jø	$R^2 = 0.373$	n = 14	df = 1	
		West < East	*		

1 Table 7 Comparison of the numbers of disappearing, reappearing and new species in Lake

Veere for the periods 1992 -1999, 2000 - 2003, and 2004 - 2007. Average values \pm standard

2 3 4 5 deviations are given per period. Results of ANOVAs and pair-wise significance testing for the three periods (1, 2 and 3) are shown. * = p < 0.05; *** = p < 0.001; ns = not significant.

Period	Disappeared	Reappeared	New	
1: 1992 - 1999	-3.75 ± 2.96	0.25 ± 0.64	1.25 ± 1.75	
2: 2000 - 2003	-4.25 ± 1.89	0.50 ± 0.58	0.75 ± 0.96	
3: 2004 - 2007	-3.75 ± 0.96	6.25 ± 3.30	6.50 ± 5.45	
Disappeared	$R^2 = 0.010$	n = 16	df = 2	p = 0.937
	1 > 2 ns	1 = 3 ns	2 < 3 ns	
Reappeared	$R^2 = 0.749$	n = 16	df = 2	p = 0.000
	1 < 2 ns	1 < 3 ***	2 < 3 ***	-
New	$R^2 = 0.439$	n = 16	df = 2	p = 0.023
	1 > 2 ns	1 < 3 *	2 < 3 *	_